arXiv:1401.4675v3 [math.RA] 28 Nov 2014

ITÔ'S THEOREM AND METABELIAN LEIBNIZ ALGEBRAS

A. L. AGORE AND G. MILITARU

Abstract. We prove that the celebrated Itô's theorem for groups remains valid at the level of Leibniz algebras: if $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Leibniz algebra such that $\mathfrak{g} = A + B$, for two abelian subalgebras A and B, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is metabelian, i.e. $[\,[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}], [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]\,] = 0$. A structure type theorem for metabelian Leibniz/Lie algebras is proved. All metabelian Leibniz algebras having the derived algebra of dimension 1 are described, classified and their automorphisms groups are explicitly determined as subgroups of a semidirect product of groups $P^* \ltimes \big(k^* \times \mathrm{Aut}_k(P)\big)$ associated to any vector space P.

Introduction

An old problem addressed in group theory in the fifties is the following ([4, pg. 18]): for two given abelian groups A and B describe and classify all groups G which can be written as a product $G = AB$. Surprisingly, the problem turned out to be a very difficult one and lead to the development of a vast literature (see [4] and the references therein). However, the celebrated Itô's theorem [26] remains the most satisfying result obtained so far (cf. [4, 14]): if $G = AB$ is a product of two abelian subgroups, then G is metabelian. Itô's theorem provides an important piece of information on this problem and it was the foundation of many structural results for finite groups.

The first aim of this paper it to prove that Itô's theorem remains valid at the level of Leibniz algebras (Corollary 1.2): if $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Leibniz algebra such that $\mathfrak{g} = A + B$, for two abelian subalgebras A and B, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is metabelian (2-step solvable) - the corresponding theorem for Lie algebras is well known [5, 6, 30]. The result is a consequence of a more general statement (Theorem 1.1) whose proof, even if is different from Itô's original argument used in the case of groups or Lie algebras, it is also based on a commutator computation involving this time the Leibniz law and a surprising partial skew-symmetry that exists at the level of the derived algebra of any Leibniz algebra. A possible generalization of Itô's theorem is also discussed: more precisely, we show by a counterexample that in a certain sense Corollary 1.2 is the best result we can hope for. Also, we prove again by counterexamples that the converse of Itô's theorem does not hold for Lie algebras nor Leibniz algebras. The study of metabelian Lie algebras concerns mainly the free metabelian Lie algebras in connection to algebraic geometry, their automorphisms

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17A32, 17A60, 17B30.

Key words and phrases. metabelian Leibniz (Lie) algebras.

A.L. Agore is Postdoctoral Fellow of the Fund for Scientific Research Flanders (Belgium) (F.W.O. Vlaanderen). This work was supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS-UEFISCDI, grant no. 88/05.10.2011.

groups or Gröbner-Shirshov bases [13, 17, 18, 21, 31]. On the other hand, the study of Leibniz algebras as non-commutative generalizations of Lie algebras, is an active research field arising from homological algebra, classical or non-commutative differential geometry, vertex operator algebras or integrable systems. For further details see [3, 8, 9, 10, 11, 15, 16, 20, 21, 22, 27, 29] and their references: we just mention that one of the open questions consists of finding a generalization of Lie's third theorem in the setting of Leibniz algebras [15]. Related to Itô's theorem for Lie algebras we have to mention another old problem initiated by Schur in 1905 concerned with finding the abelian subalgebras of maximal dimension in a finite dimensional Lie algebra: for recent progress on this problem see [7, 12].

Turning to the problem we started with, we can state its counterpart at the level of Lie (resp. Leibniz) algebras: *for two given abelian algebras A and B, describe and classify all Lie (resp. Leibniz) algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ containing A and B as subalgebras such that $\mathfrak{g} = A + B$.* In the finite case, taking into account Corollary 1.2, this problem is a special case of the classification problem of all metabelian Lie (resp. Leibniz) algebras. Any metabelian Lie/Leibniz algebra is a 2-step solvable Lie/Leibniz algebra. The classification of all solvable Lie algebras was achieved up to dimension 4 over arbitrary fields [25] and up to dimension 6 over $\mathbb{R}$ [33]. On the other hand the classification of all solvable Leibniz algebras is much more difficult and is known only up to dimension 4 over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$ [10, 11, 20]. Thus, it is more likely to be able to classify metabelian Lie (resp. Leibniz) algebras of a given dimension rather than solvable ones. The first step towards this goal is given in Theorem 2.2 (resp. Corollary 2.3), where a structure type theorem for metabelian Leibniz (resp. Lie) algebras is proposed. As an application, in Theorem 2.4 all metabelian Leibniz algebras having the derived algebra of dimension 1 are explicitly described and classified: there are three families of such Leibniz algebras. However, in order to write down the isomorphism classes, it turns out that a more general theory of Kronecker-Williamson's classification of bilinear forms [32] needs to be developed. Corollary 2.5 offers the detailed description of the automorphisms groups of these Leibniz algebras: they are subgroups of a canonical semidirect product of groups $P^* \ltimes \left(k^* \times \mathrm{Aut}_k(P)\right)$ that we construct for any vector space P.

1. Itô's theorem for Leibniz algebras

Notations and terminology. All vector spaces, (bi)linear maps, Lie or Leibniz algebras are over an arbitrary field k whose group of units will be denoted by k^*. For a vector space P we denote its dual by $P^* = \mathrm{Hom}_k(P, k)$ and by $\mathrm{Aut}_k(P)$ the group of linear automorphisms of P. A map $f : V \to W$ between two vector spaces is called the trivial map if $f(v) = 0$, for all $v \in V$. A Leibniz algebra is a vector space $\mathfrak{g}$, together with a bilinear map $[-, -] : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ satisfying the Leibniz identity for any $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$:

$$[x, [y, z]] = [[x, y], z] - [[x, z], y]. \tag{1}$$

Any Lie algebra is a Leibniz algebra, and a Leibniz algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying $[x, x] = 0$, for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra. Thus, Leibniz algebras are non skew-symmetric generalizations of Lie algebras. However, for any Leibniz algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ there exists a partial skew-symmetry

on the derived algebra. More precisely, the following formula which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1, holds for any x, y, z, $t \in \mathfrak{g}$:

$$[\,[x,\,y]\,,\,[z,\,t]\,] = -\,[\,[x,\,y]\,,\,[t,\,z]\,]\,. \tag{2}$$

Indeed, the equality (2) follows by applying two times the Leibniz law as follows:

$$[\,[x,\,y]\,,\,[z,\,t]\,] \overset{(1)}{=} [\,[\,[x,\,y]\,,\,z]\,,\,t] - [\,[\,[x,\,y]\,,\,t]\,,\,z] \overset{(1)}{=} -\,[\,[x,\,y]\,,\,[t,\,z]\,]\,.$$

We shall denote by $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{Lbz}}(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g})$) the automorphisms group of a Leibniz (resp. Lie) algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Any vector space V is a Leibniz algebra with the trivial bracket $[x,\,y] = 0$, for all $x,\,y \in V$ – such a Leibniz algebra is called *abelian* and will be denoted by V_0. For two subspaces A and B of a Leibniz algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ we denote by $[A,\,B]$ the vector space generated by all brackets $[a,\,b]$, for any $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. In particular, $\mathfrak{g}' := [\mathfrak{g},\,\mathfrak{g}]$ is called the derived subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. A Leibniz or a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is called *metabelian* if $\mathfrak{g}'$ is an abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e. $[\,[\mathfrak{g},\,\mathfrak{g}],\,[\mathfrak{g},\,\mathfrak{g}]\,] = 0$. Hence, a metabelian Leibniz/Lie algebra is just a solvable algebra of length 2: this definition is exactly the counterpart of the concept of a metabelian group used for Lie algebras in [17, 18, 31] and for Leibniz algebras in [21]. We mention that a more restrictive definition appeared in [23, 24] where the term 'metabelian' is used to denote a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra, i.e. $[\mathfrak{g},\,[\mathfrak{g},\,\mathfrak{g}]\,] = 0$. Any 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra is metabelian; however, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{b}(2,k)$ of 2×2 upper triangular matrices (non-zero diagonals are permitted) is metabelian but not nilpotent. A partial converse is proved in [19, Lemma 3.3]: any metabelian Lie algebra with an ad-invariant metric form is a 3-step nilpotent Lie algebra. A subspace $\mathfrak{h}$ of a Leibniz algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is called a *two-sided ideal* of $\mathfrak{g}$ if $[h,\,g]$ and $[g,\,h] \in \mathfrak{h}$, for all $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $g \in \mathfrak{g}$. In the proof of Theorem 1.1 we use intensively the Leibniz law as given in (1) as well as the following equivalent form of it:

$$[[x,\,z]\,,\,y] = [[x,\,y]\,,\,z] - [x,\,[y,\,z]]\,. \tag{3}$$

The next result holds for Leibniz algebras defined over any commutative ring k.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Leibniz algebra and A, B two abelian subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then any two-sided ideal $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ contained in $A + B$ is a metabelian Leibniz subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.*

Proof. We have to prove that $[\,[x_1,\,x_2]\,,\,[x_3,\,x_4]\,] = 0$, for all $x_1,\,x_2,\,x_3,\,x_4 \in \mathfrak{h}$. Since $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq A + B$ we can find $a_i \in A$, $b_i \in B$ such that $x_i = a_i + b_i$, for all $i = 1, \cdots, 4$. Using that A and B are both abelian subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\,[x_1,\,x_2]\,,\,[x_3,\,x_4]\,] = [\,[a_1 + b_1,\,a_2 + b_2]\,,\,[a_3 + b_3,\,a_4 + b_4]\,]\\
&= [\,[a_1,\,b_2] + [b_1,\,a_2]\,,\,[a_3,\,b_4] + [b_3,\,a_4]\,]\\
&= [\,[a_1,\,b_2]\,,\,[a_3,\,b_4]\,] + [\,[a_1,\,b_2]\,,\,[b_3,\,a_4]\,] + [\,[b_1,\,a_2]\,,\,[a_3,\,b_4]\,] + [\,[b_1,\,a_2]\,,\,[b_3,\,a_4]\,]\,.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof will be finished once we show that any member of the last sum is equal to zero. Indeed, using that A and B are abelian subalgebras and $\mathfrak{h}$ is a two-sided ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$ we obtain: $[a_3,\,b_2] = [a_3,\,a_2 + b_2] = [a_3,\,x_2] \in h$ and similarly $[a_1,\,b_4] = [x_1,\,b_4]$, $[b_1,\,a_3] = [x_1,\,a_3]$ and $[b_4,\,a_2] = [b_4,\,x_2]$ are all elements of $\mathfrak{h}$. Thus, we can find some elements $a_j \in A$, $b_j \in B$, $j = 5, \cdots, 8$ such that:

$$[a_3,\,b_2] = a_5 + b_5, \quad [a_1,\,b_4] = a_6 + b_6, \quad [b_1,\,a_3] = a_7 + b_7, \quad [b_4,\,a_2] = a_8 + b_8. \tag{4}$$

Then, using the fact that A and B are both abelian, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\,[a_1,\,b_2]\,,\,[a_3,\,b_4]\,] &\overset{(1)}{=} \Big[\underline{[[a_1,\,b_2]\,,\,a_3]},\,b_4\Big] - \Big[\underline{[[a_1,\,b_2]\,,\,b_4]},\,a_3\Big]\\
&\overset{(3)}{=} [\,[[a_1,\,a_3]\,,\,b_2] - [a_1,\,[a_3,\,b_2]\,]\,,\,b_4] - [\,[[a_1,\,b_4]\,,\,b_2] - [a_1,\,[b_4,\,b_2]\,]\,,\,a_3]\\
&= -\Big[\,\Big[a_1,\,\underline{[a_3,\,b_2]}\Big]\,,\,b_4\Big] - \Big[\,\Big[\underline{[a_1,\,b_4]},\,b_2\Big]\,,\,a_3\Big]\\
&\overset{(4)}{=} -[\,[a_1,\,a_5+b_5]\,,\,b_4] - [\,[a_6+b_6,\,b_2]\,,\,a_3]\\
&= -\underline{[[a_1,\,b_5]\,,\,b_4]} - \underline{[[a_6,\,b_2]\,,\,a_3]}\\
&\overset{(3)}{=} [a_1,\,[b_4,\,b_5]\,] - [\,[a_1,\,b_4]\,,\,b_5] + [a_6,[a_3,\,b_2]\,] - [\,[a_6,\,a_3]\,,\,b_2]\\
&= -\Big[\underline{[a_1,\,b_4]},\,b_5\Big] + \Big[a_6,\underline{[a_3,\,b_2]}\Big]\\
&\overset{(4)}{=} -[a_6+b_6,\,b_5] + [a_6,a_5+b_5] = -[a_6,\,b_5] + [a_6,b_5] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $[\,[a_1,\,b_2]\,,\,[a_3,\,b_4]\,] = 0$. A similar computation shows that $[\,[a_1,\,b_2]\,,\,[a_4,\,b_3]\,] = 0$. This implies, using (2), that $[\,[a_1,\,b_2]\,,\,[b_3,\,a_4]\,] = -[\,[a_1,\,b_2]\,,\,[a_4,\,b_3]\,] = 0$, that is the second term of the above sum is also equal to zero. Furthermore, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\,[b_1,\,a_2]\,,\,[a_3,\,b_4]\,] &\overset{(1)}{=} \Big[\underline{[[b_1,\,a_2]\,,\,a_3]},\,b_4\Big] - \Big[\underline{[[b_1,\,a_2]\,,\,b_4]},\,a_3\Big]\\
&\overset{(3)}{=} [\,[[b_1,\,a_3]\,,\,a_2] - [b_1,\,[a_3,\,a_2]\,]\,,\,b_4] - [\,[[b_1,\,b_4]\,,\,a_2] - [b_1,\,[b_4,\,a_2]\,]\,,\,a_3]\\
&= \Big[\,\Big[\underline{[b_1,\,a_3]},\,a_2\Big]\,,\,b_4\Big] + \Big[\,\Big[b_1,\,\underline{[b_4,\,a_2]}\Big]\,,\,a_3\Big]\\
&\overset{(4)}{=} [\,[a_7+b_7,\,a_2]\,,\,b_4] + [\,[b_1,\,a_8+b_8]\,,\,a_3]\\
&= \underline{[[b_7,\,a_2]\,,\,b_4]} + \underline{[[b_1,\,a_8]\,,\,a_3]}\\
&\overset{(3)}{=} [\,[b_7,\,b_4]\,,\,a_2] - [b_7,\,[b_4,\,a_2]\,] + [\,[b_1,\,a_3]\,,\,a_8] - [b_1,\,[a_3,\,a_8]\,]\\
&= -\Big[b_7,\,\underline{[b_4,\,a_2]}\Big] + \Big[\underline{[b_1,\,a_3]},\,a_8\Big]\\
&\overset{(4)}{=} -[b_7,\,a_8+b_8] + [a_7+b_7,\,a_8] = -[b_7,\,a_8] + [b_7,\,a_8] = 0
\end{aligned}$$

i.e. $[\,[b_1,\,a_2]\,,\,[a_3,\,b_4]\,] = 0$, that is the third term of the above sum is also equal to zero. A similar computation will prove that $[\,[b_1,\,a_2]\,,\,[a_4,\,b_3]\,] = 0$. Finally, applying once again (2), we obtain that $[\,[b_1,\,a_2]\,,\,[b_3,\,a_4]\,] = -[\,[b_1,\,a_2]\,,\,[a_4,\,b_3]\,] = 0$ and the proof is now finished. □

As a special case of Theorem 1.1 we obtain:

Corollary 1.2. ***(Itô's theorem for Leibniz algebras)*** *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Leibniz algebra over a commutative ring k such that $\mathfrak{g} = A + B$, for two abelian subalgebras A and B of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is metabelian.*

Example 1.3. As an application of Corollary 1.2 we obtain that any *bicrossed product* $V_0 \bowtie P_0$ associated to a *matched pair* $(V_0, P_0, \triangleleft, \triangleright, \leftharpoonup, \rightharpoonup)$ of two abelian Leibniz algebras V_0 and P_0 is a metabelian Leibniz algebra. For the technical axioms defining a matched pair of Leibniz algebras and the construction of the associated bicrossed product we refer to [3, Definition 4.5].

Example 1.4. Itô's theorem (Corollary 1.2) can not be generalized in the following direction: if $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra such that $\mathfrak{g} = A + B$, where A is a metabelian subalgebra and B an abelian subalgebra, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is not necessarily a metabelian Lie algebra. Indeed, let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the 4-dimensional Lie algebra (denoted by L_5 in [1]) having the basis $\{e_1, \cdots, e_4\}$ and the bracket

$$[e_1, e_2] = e_2, \quad [e_1, e_3] = e_3, \quad [e_1, e_4] = 2\, e_4, \quad [e_2, e_3] = e_4.$$

Then, $[\,[e_1, e_2], [e_1, e_3]\,] = e_4$, i.e. $\mathfrak{g}$ is not metabelian. On the other hand, we have the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = A + B$, where A is the metabelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ having $\{e_1, e_2\}$ as a basis and B is the abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ with the basis $\{e_3, e_4\}$.

We end the section by looking at that the converse of Corollary 1.2. More precisely, we prove by counterexamples that the converse of Itô's theorem fails to be true for both Lie algebras and Leibniz algebras.

Example 1.5. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the 3-dimensional metabelian Leibniz algebra over the field $\mathbb{R}$ having the basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ and the bracket:

$$[e_2, e_2] = e_1, \qquad [e_3, e_3] = e_1.$$

We will prove that $\mathfrak{g}$ can not be written as a sum of two abelian subalgebras. Indeed, suppose that A is an abelian subalgebra of dimension 1 with basis $x = ae_1 + be_2 + ce_3$, $a, b, c \in k$. As A is abelian we have $[x, x] = 0$ and this implies $b^2 + c^2 = 0$. Therefore, $b = c = 0$ and thus A is generated by e_1. Assume now that B is an abelian subalgebra of dimension 2 with basis $\{x = a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3,\ y = b_1e_1 + b_2e_2 + b_3e_3\}$. Again by the fact that B is abelian we obtain $a_2 = a_3 = b_2 = b_3 = 0$. Hence $\mathfrak{g}$ has no abelian subalgebras of dimension 2 and the conclusion follows.

Over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$, the metabelian Lie algebra of smallest dimension which can not be written as a sum of two proper abelian subalgebras has dimension 5. Indeed, if we consider the classification of 3 and 4-dimensional complex Lie algebras (see for instance [1, Table 1 and 2]) one can easily see that any metabelian Lie algebra in those lists can be written as a direct sum of two proper abelian subalgebras.

Example 1.6. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the 5-dimensional metabelian Lie algebra having the basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5\}$ and the bracket given by:

$$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, \quad [e_1, e_3] = e_4, \quad [e_2, e_3] = e_5. \tag{5}$$

We will prove that $\mathfrak{g}$ can not be written as a sum of two abelian subalgebras based on the following remark. Let $a = \sum_{i=1}^{5} a_i\, e_i$ and $b = \sum_{i=1}^{5} b_i\, e_i$, be two non-zero elements of an abelian Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Since $[a, b] = 0$, it follows from (5), that

$$a_1\, b_2 = a_2\, b_1, \quad a_1\, b_3 = a_3\, b_1, \quad a_2\, b_3 = a_3\, b_2, \tag{6}$$

that is, the rank of the following matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

is at most 1. Based on this observation we will prove that $\dim_{\mathrm{k}}(A+B) \leq 4$, for any A, B abelian subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$. Assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is the sum of two abelian subalgebras, say, $\mathfrak{g} = A + B$. As $\mathfrak{g}$ is not abelian we have $\dim_{\mathrm{k}}(A) \geq 1$ and $\dim_{\mathrm{k}}(B) \geq 1$. Let $l = \dim_{\mathrm{k}}(A)$ and $t = \dim_{\mathrm{k}}(B)$ and consider $\mathcal{B}_A = \{X_1, ..., X_l\}$, respectively $\mathcal{B}_B = \{Y_1, ..., Y_t\}$, k-bases for A and respectively B. As $\dim_{\mathrm{k}}(A+B) = 5$, we can find 5 linearly independent vectors among the generators $\{X_1, ..., X_l, Y_1, ..., Y_t\}$ which form a basis of $A + B$. Again by the fact that $\mathfrak{g}$ is not abelian, this basis of $A + B$ will contain either three vectors from $\mathcal{B}_A$ and two vectors from $\mathcal{B}_B$ or four vectors from $\mathcal{B}_A$ and one vector from $\mathcal{B}_B$. Therefore, we are left to prove that $\mathfrak{g}$ can not be written as the sum of two abelian subalgebras of dimensions 3 and 2, respectively 4 and 1. Suppose first that $\mathfrak{g} = A + B$, where A and B are abelian subalgebras such that $\dim_{\mathrm{k}}(A) = 3$ and $\dim_{\mathrm{k}}(B) = 2$. Consider $\mathcal{B}_A = \{X_1 = \sum_{i=1}^5 \alpha_i\, e_i,\ X_2 = \sum_{i=1}^5 \beta_i\, e_i,\ X_3 = \sum_{i=1}^5 \gamma_i\, e_i\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_B = \{Y_1 = \sum_{i=1}^5 \delta_i\, e_i,\ Y_2 = \sum_{i=1}^5 \mu_i\, e_i\}$ k-bases of A, respectively B, and let V be the matrix of coefficients

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_4 & \alpha_5 \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_3 & \beta_4 & \beta_5 \\ \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 & \gamma_3 & \gamma_4 & \gamma_5 \\ \delta_1 & \delta_2 & \delta_3 & \delta_4 & \delta_5 \\ \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \mu_3 & \mu_4 & \mu_5 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

Let $W := V_{1,2,3}^{1,2,3}$ (resp. $T := V_{4,5}^{1,2,3}$) be the matrix formed with the first three columns and the first three rows of V (resp. the first three columns and the last two rows of V). By applying (6) to the vectors in $\mathcal{B}_A$, respectively $\mathcal{B}_B$, it follows that $\mathrm{rank}\,(W) = 1$ and $\mathrm{rank}\,(T) \leq 1$. We obtain that $\mathrm{rank}\,(V) \leq 4$, since $\det(V) = 0$. The last assertion follows for instance by first expanding the determinant of V along the last column and then by expanding again the resulting determinants along the last column. Thus $\mathfrak{g}$ can not be written as a sum of abelian subalgebras of dimensions 3 and 2. Finally, the second case will be settled in the negative by proving that $\mathfrak{g}$ does not have abelian subalgebras of dimension 4. Suppose A is such a subalgebra with basis $\mathcal{B}_A = \{X_1 = \sum_{i=1}^5 \alpha_i\, e_i,\ X_2 = \sum_{i=1}^5 \beta_i\, e_i,\ X_3 = \sum_{i=1}^5 \gamma_i\, e_i,\ X_4 = \sum_{i=1}^5 \delta_i\, e_i\}$ and denote by V' the matrix consisting of the first four rows of V. We will reach a contradiction by proving that $\mathrm{rank}\,(V') < 4$. By applying (6) to the vectors in $\mathcal{B}_A$ we obtain that $\mathrm{rank}\,(V^{1,2,3}) = 1$, where $V^{1,2,3}$ is the matrix consisting of the first three columns of V'. Hence we obtain that $\mathrm{rank}\,(V') \leq 3$. This finishes the proof.

2. On the structure and the classification of metabelian Leibniz algebras

In this section a structure type theorem for metabelian Leibniz algebras is proposed and, as an application, we give the classification of all Leibniz algebras for which the derived algebra has dimension 1. First we will show that the classical definition of a metabelian Leibniz algebra is equivalent to the one recently introduced in [28, Definition 2.8].

Proposition 2.1. *A Leibniz algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is metabelian if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ is an extension of an abelian Leibniz algebra by an abelian Leibniz algebra, i.e. there exist two vector spaces P and V and an exact sequence of Leibniz algebra maps:*

$$0 \longrightarrow V_0 \xrightarrow{\ i\ } \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\ \pi\ } P_0 \longrightarrow 0\ . \tag{8}$$

Proof. Any metabelian Leibniz algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is an extension of the abelian Leibniz algebra $(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}')_0$ by the abelian Leibniz algebra $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{g}'_0$ since we have the following exact sequence of Leibniz algebra maps

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}'_0 \xrightarrow{\ \iota\ } \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\ p\ } (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}')_0 \longrightarrow 0 \tag{9}$$

where ι is the inclusion map and p the canonical projection. Conversely, assume that we have an exact sequence (8) of Leibniz algebra maps. By identifying $V_0 \cong i(V_0) \leq \mathfrak{g}$, we will assume that V is a subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$ and i is just the inclusion map. The sequence (8) being exact gives $[v,\, w] = 0$, for all $v,\, w \in V$ and $[x,\, y] \in \mathrm{Ker}(\pi) = V$, for all $x,\, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. Hence, we obtain $[\,[x,\, y],\, [z,\, t]\,] = 0$, for all $x,\, y,\, z,\, t \in \mathfrak{g}$, i.e. $\mathfrak{g}$ is metabelian. □

Based on Proposition 2.1, the structure of metabelian Leibniz algebras follows as a special case of [28, Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.10]. However, for the reader's convenience we will write down this result as it will be used in the proof of Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 2.2. *A Leibniz algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is metabelian if and only if there exists an isomorphism of Leibniz algebras $\mathfrak{g} \cong V \#_{(\triangleleft,\, \triangleright,\, f)} P$, for some vector spaces P and V connected by three bilinear maps $\triangleleft : V \times P \to V$, $\triangleright : P \times V \to V$ and $f : P \times P \to V$ satisfying the following compatibilities for all $p,\, q,\, r \in P$ and $x \in V$:*

$$(x \triangleleft p) \triangleleft q = (x \triangleleft q) \triangleleft p, \quad p \triangleright (x \triangleleft q) = (p \triangleright x) \triangleleft q = -\,p \triangleright (q \triangleright x) \tag{10}$$

$$p \triangleright f(q,\, r) - f(p,\, q) \triangleleft r + f(p,\, r) \triangleleft q = 0. \tag{11}$$

The Leibniz algebra $V \#_{(\triangleleft,\, \triangleright,\, f)} P$ is the vector space $V \times P$ with the bracket given by:

$$\{(x,\, p),\, (y,\, q)\} := \big(x \triangleleft q + p \triangleright y + f(p,\, q),\ 0\big) \tag{12}$$

for all $x,\, y \in V$ and $p,\, q \in P$.

A system $(P,\, V,\, \triangleleft,\, \triangleright,\, f)$ satisfying the axioms (10)-(11) of Theorem 2.2 will be called a *Leibniz metabelian datum* of P by V and we denote by $\mathrm{Met}\,(P,\, V)$ the set of all metabelian datums $(P,\, V,\, \triangleleft,\, \triangleright,\, f)$ of P by V. The Leibniz algebra $V \#_{(\triangleleft,\, \triangleright,\, f)} P$ is called the *metabelian product* associated to $(P,\, V,\, \triangleleft,\, \triangleright,\, f)$.

For the sake of completeness we present the Lie algebra version of Theorem 2.2 as it takes a simplified form - we use the notations and terminology from [2, Section 3].

Corollary 2.3. *A Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is metabelian if and only if there exists an isomorphism of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g} \cong V \natural_{(\triangleright,\, f)} P$, for some vector spaces P and V connected by two bilinear maps $\triangleright : P \times V \to V$ and $f : P \times P \to V$ satisfying the following compatibilities for any $p,\, q,\, r \in P$ and $x \in V$:*

$$p \triangleright (q \triangleright x) = q \triangleright (p \triangleright x), \quad f(p,p) = 0, \quad p \triangleright f(q,r) + q \triangleright f(r,p) + r \triangleright f(p,q) = 0 \tag{13}$$

The Lie algebra $V \natural_{(\triangleright, f)} P$ is the vector space $V \times P$ with the bracket defined by

$$[(x, p), (y, q)] := \big(p \triangleright y - q \triangleright x + f(p, q),\ 0\big) \tag{14}$$

for all $x, y \in V$ and $p, q \in P$.

Let $P \neq 0$ be a vector space, $P^* = \mathrm{Hom}_k(P, k)$ the dual of P and $\mathrm{Bil}\,(P \times P, k) \cong (P \otimes_k P)^*$ the space of all bilinear forms on P. On the direct product of vector spaces $k \times P$ we construct three families of Leibniz algebras as follows: for any non-trivial map $\lambda \in P^* \setminus \{0\}$, we denote by P^λ the non-Lie Leibniz algebra having the bracket defined for any $a, b \in k$ and $p, q \in P$ by:

$$\{(a, p), (b, q)\} := (a\lambda(q), 0). \tag{15}$$

For any non-trivial bilinear form $f \in \mathrm{Bil}\,(P \times P, k) \setminus \{0\}$, we denote by $P(f)$ the Leibniz algebra with the bracket defined for any $a, b \in k$ and $p, q \in P$ by:

$$\{(a, p), (b, q)\} := (f(p, q), 0). \tag{16}$$

We note that $P(f)$ is a Lie algebra if and only if $f(p, p) = 0$, for all $p \in P$. Finally, for a pair $(\Lambda, f) \in (P^* \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathrm{Bil}\,(P \times P, k)$ satisfying the following compatibility condition for any $p, q, r \in P$

$$\Lambda(p)\, f(q, r) + \Lambda(r)\, f(p, q) - \Lambda(q)\, f(p, r) = 0 \tag{17}$$

we denote by $P_{(\Lambda, f)}$ the Lie algebra with the bracket given by:

$$\{(a, p), (b, q)\} := (-a\,\Lambda(q) + b\,\Lambda(p) + f(p, q), 0) \tag{18}$$

for all $a, b \in k$ and $p, q \in P$. $P_{(\Lambda, f)}$ is indeed a Lie algebra since applying (17) for $r = q$ and taking into account that $\Lambda \in P^* \setminus \{0\}$ we obtain $f(q, q) = 0$, for all $q \in P$. Thus, $\{(a, p), (a, p)\} = 0$, for all $a \in k$ and $p \in P$. These three families of Leibniz algebras are all we need in order to provide the complete classification of all metabelian Leibniz algebras having the derived subalgebra of dimension 1.

Theorem 2.4. *A Leibniz algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ has the derived algebra $\mathfrak{g}'$ of dimension 1 if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to one of the Leibniz algebras P^λ, $P(f)$ or $P_{(\Lambda, f)}$ from the three families defined above, where $P \neq 0$ is a vector space, $\lambda \in P^* \setminus \{0\}$, $f \in \mathrm{Bil}\,(P \times P, k) \setminus \{0\}$ and $(\Lambda, f) \in (P^* \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathrm{Bil}\,(P \times P, k)$ satisfying (17). Furthermore, we have:*

(1) *None of the Leibniz algebras from one family is isomorphic to a Leibniz algebra pertaining to another family.*

(2) *There exists an isomorphism of Leibniz algebras $P^\lambda \cong P^{\lambda'}$, for all $\lambda, \lambda' \in P^* \setminus \{0\}$.*

(3) *Two Leibniz algebras $P(f)$ and $P(f')$ are isomorphic if and only if there exists a pair $(u, \psi) \in k^* \times \mathrm{Aut}_k(P)$ such that $u\, f(p, q) = f'(\psi(p), \psi(q))$, for all $p, q \in P$.*

(4) *Two Lie algebras $P_{(\Lambda, f)}$ and $P_{(\Lambda', f')}$ are isomorphic if and only if there exists a triple $(v, u, \psi) \in P^* \times k^* \times \mathrm{Aut}_k(P)$ such that for any $p, q \in P$:*

$$\Lambda = \Lambda' \circ \psi, \quad u\, f(p, q) = f'\big(\psi(p), \psi(q)\big) + (\Lambda' \circ \psi)(v(q)p - v(p)q) \tag{19}$$

Proof. Using Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, we obtain that a Leibniz algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ has the derived algebra $\mathfrak{g}'$ of dimension 1 (such a Leibniz algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is automatically non-abelian and metabelian) if and only if there exists an isomorphism of Leibniz algebras $\mathfrak{g} \cong k \#_{(\triangleleft, \triangleright, f)} P$, for some vector space $P \neq 0$. Here we identify $\mathfrak{g}' \cong k$ and P will be chosen to be the quotient vector space $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}'$. Thus, we have to compute the set $\mathrm{Met}\,(P,\, k)$ of all Leibniz metabelian datums $(P,\, k,\, \triangleleft,\, \triangleright,\, f)$ of P by k and then we have to describe and classify the associated metabelian products $k \#_{(\triangleleft, \triangleright, f)} P$. It is straightforward to show that $\mathrm{Met}\,(P,\, k)$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of all triples $(\lambda,\, \Lambda,\, f) \in P^* \times P^* \times \mathrm{Bil}\,(P \times P,\, k)$ satisfying the following compatibilities for all $p,\, q,\, r \in P$:

$$\Lambda(p)\Lambda(q) = -\Lambda(p)\lambda(q), \qquad \Lambda(p)\, f(q,\, r) - \lambda(r)\, f(p,\, q) + \lambda(q)\, f(p,\, r) = 0. \tag{20}$$

Through the above bijection, the metabelian datum $(P,\, k,\, \triangleleft,\, \triangleright,\, f)$ corresponding to $(\lambda,\, \Lambda,\, f) \in P^* \times P^* \times \mathrm{Bil}\,(P \times P,\, k)$ is given for any $a \in k$ and $p \in P$ by:

$$a \triangleleft p := a\, \lambda(p), \quad p \triangleright a := a\, \Lambda(p). \tag{21}$$

The compatibility conditions (20) are exactly those obtained by writing (10)-(11) via the formulas (21). We denote by $P_{(\lambda,\, \Lambda,\, f)}$ the metabelian product $k \# P$ associated to a triple $(\lambda,\, \Lambda,\, f) \in P^* \times P^* \times \mathrm{Bil}\,(P \times P,\, k)$ satisfying (20). That is $P_{(\lambda,\, \Lambda,\, f)}$ is the vector space $k \times P$ with the bracket given for any $a,\, b \in k$ and $p,\, q \in P$ by:

$$\{(a,\, p),\, (b,\, q)\} := (a\, \lambda(q) + b\, \Lambda(p) + f(p,\, q),\, 0). \tag{22}$$

If all the maps in the triple $(\lambda,\, \Lambda,\, f)$ are trivial, then (22) shows that $P_{(\lambda,\, \Lambda,\, f)}$ is abelian. Hence, we can consider only Leibniz algebras $P_{(\lambda,\, \Lambda,\, f)}$ for which $(\lambda,\, \Lambda,\, f) \neq (0,\, 0,\, 0)$. The next step will provide necessary and sufficient conditions for two Leibniz algebras $P_{(\lambda,\, \Lambda,\, f)}$ and $P_{(\lambda',\, \Lambda',\, f')}$ to be isomorphic. We will prove a more general result which will allow us to also compute the automorphisms group of $P_{(\lambda,\, \Lambda,\, f)}$. More precisely, we shall prove the following technical statement:

Claim: Let $(\lambda,\, \Lambda,\, f) \neq (0,\, 0,\, 0)$ and $(\lambda',\, \Lambda',\, f')$ be two triples in $P^* \times P^* \times \mathrm{Bil}\,(P \times P,\, k)$ satisfying (20). Then there exists a bijection between the set of all morphisms of Leibniz algebras $\varphi : P_{(\lambda,\, \Lambda,\, f)} \to P_{(\lambda',\, \Lambda',\, f')}$ and the set of all triples $(v, u, \psi) \in P^* \times k \times \mathrm{End}_k(P)$ satisfying the following three compatibilities for all $p,\, q \in P$:

$$u\, \lambda = u\, \lambda' \circ \psi, \qquad u\, \Lambda = u\, \Lambda' \circ \psi \tag{23}$$

$$u\, f(p,\, q) = f'\big(\psi(p),\, \psi(q)\big) + v(p)\, \lambda'(\psi(q)) + v(q)\, \Lambda'(\psi(p)). \tag{24}$$

Through the above bijection, the Leibniz algebra map $\varphi = \varphi_{(v,\, u,\, \psi)}$ corresponding to $(v, u, \psi) \in P^* \times k \times \mathrm{End}_k(P)$ is given for any $(a,\, p) \in k \times P$ by:

$$\varphi : P_{(\lambda,\, \Lambda,\, f)} \to P_{(\lambda',\, \Lambda',\, f')}, \quad \varphi(a,\, p) = (a\, u + v(p),\ \psi(p)). \tag{25}$$

Furthermore, $\varphi = \varphi_{(v,\, u,\, \psi)}$ is an isomorphism if and only if $u \neq 0$ and $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}_k(P)$.

Indeed, first we notice that any linear map $\varphi : k \times P \to k \times P$ is uniquely determined by a quadruple $(v,\, p_0,\, u,\, \psi) \in P^* \times P \times k \times \mathrm{End}_k(P)$ such that for any $a \in k$ and $p \in P$ we have:

$$\varphi(a,\, p) = \varphi_{(v,\, p_0,\, u,\, \psi)}(a,\, p) = (a\, u + v(p),\ a\, p_0 + \psi(p))$$

We will prove that $\varphi = \varphi_{(v, p_0, u, \psi)} : P_{(\lambda, \Lambda, f)} \to P_{(\lambda', \Lambda', f')}$ is a Leibniz algebra map if and only if $p_0 = 0$ and (23)-(24) hold. Indeed, if we write

$$\varphi\big(\{(a,\ p),\ (b,\ q)\}\big) = \{\varphi(a,\ p),\ \varphi(b,\ q)\} \tag{26}$$

for $(a,\ p) = (1,\ 0)$, $(b,\ q) = (1,\ 0)$ and respectively $a = b = 0$ we obtain

$$\lambda(q)\, p_0 = \Lambda(p)\, p_0 = f(p,\ q)\, p_0 = 0$$

for all $p,\ q \in P$. It follows from here that $p_0 = 0$ since $(\lambda,\ \Lambda,\ f) \neq (0,\ 0,\ 0)$. Hence, φ has the form given by (25). Taking this into account, we can see that (26) holds for $(a, p) = (1, 0)$ and $(b, q) = (0, q)$ (resp. $(a, p) = (0, p)$ and $(b, q) = (1, 0)$) if and only if the left hand side (resp. right hand side) of the compatibility condition (23) holds. Finally, we note that (24) is the same as (26) applied for $(a, p) = (0, p)$ and $(b, q) = (0, q)$. The fact that $\varphi_{(v, u, \psi)}$ is bijective if and only if $u \neq 0$ and ψ is bijective is straightforward - we only mention that in this case the inverse of φ is given by $\varphi^{-1}_{(v, u, \psi)} = \varphi_{(-u^{-1}\, v\circ\psi^{-1},\, u^{-1},\, \psi^{-1})}$.

In conclusion, we obtain that two Leibniz algebras $P_{(\lambda, \Lambda, f)}$ and $P_{(\lambda', \Lambda', f')}$ are isomorphic if and only if there exists a triple $(v, u, \psi) \in P^* \times (k \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathrm{Aut}_k(P)$ satisfying the following compatibilities for all $p,\ q \in P$:

$$\lambda = \lambda' \circ \psi, \qquad \Lambda = \Lambda' \circ \psi \tag{27}$$

$$u\, f(p,\ q) = f'\big(\psi(p),\ \psi(q)\big) + v(p)\, \lambda'(\psi(q)) \ +\ v(q)\, \Lambda'(\psi(p)). \tag{28}$$

With this result in hand we return to the compatibility conditions (20). The first compatibility condition of (20) requires a discussion on whether Λ is the trivial map or $\Lambda \neq 0$. If $\Lambda \neq 0$, we obtain that $\lambda = -\Lambda$ and the last compatibility of (20) becomes precisely (17) from the defining axioms of the Lie algebra $P_{(\Lambda,\, f)}$; this and the above claim prove (4). Now, for $\Lambda = 0$ we also need to discuss two cases: if $\lambda = 0$ then (20) holds for any bilinear map $f \in \mathrm{Bil}\,(P \times P,\, k)$ and the associated metabelian product $k\#P$ is just the Leibniz algebra $P(f)$ from (3). Finally, we are left to discuss the last case: $\Lambda = 0$ and $\lambda \neq 0$. Then (20) becomes $\lambda(r) f(p,\ q) = \lambda(q) f(p,\ r)$, for all $p,\ q \in P$. Let $r_0 \in P$ such that $\lambda(r_0) \neq 0$. It follows that $f(p,\ q) = \lambda(q) f(p,\ r_0) \lambda(r_0)^{-1}$, i.e. there exists a linear map $\theta \in P^*$ such that $f(p,\ q) = \theta(p)\lambda(q)$, for all $p,\ q \in P$. We denote by $P^{(\lambda,\, \theta)}$ the associated metabelian product $k\#P$. Using, (27)-(28) we can easily see that there exists an isomorphism of Leibniz algebras $P^{(\lambda,\, \theta)} \cong P^{(\lambda,\, 0)}$, for all $\lambda \in P^* \setminus \{0\}$ and $\theta \in P^*$ (take $u := 1$, $\psi := \mathrm{Id}_P$ and $v := \theta$ in (27)-(28)). Thus, we have obtained that for $\Lambda = 0$ and $\lambda \neq 0$, the corresponding metabelian product $P_{(\lambda, \Lambda, f)}$ is isomorphic to the Leibniz algebra P^λ from (2). The statement in (2) follows from a basic fact: namely, for any non-zero linear maps $\lambda,\ \lambda' \in P^*$, there exists $\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}_k(P)$ such that $\lambda = \lambda' \circ \psi$. The proof is finished once we observe that the statement in (1) follows from (27) - (28) and the way the Leibniz algebras P^λ, $P(f)$ and respectively $P_{(\Lambda,\, f)}$ have been constructed. □

As a bonus, the proof of Theorem 2.4 provides the description of the automorphisms groups of the Leibniz algebras P^λ, $P(f)$ and $P_{(\Lambda,\, f)}$. For any vector space P, consider $(P^*, +)$ to be the underlying abelian group of the dual P^* while k^* stands for the group of units of the field k. Then we can easily prove that the set $P^* \times k^* \times \mathrm{Aut}_k(P)$ has a

group structure with the multiplication given for any $(v,\, u,\, \psi)$ and $(v',\, u',\, \psi') \in P^* \times k^* \times \mathrm{Aut}_k(P)$ by:

$$(v,\, u,\, \psi)\cdot(v',\, u',\, \psi') := (u\,v' + v\circ\psi',\, uu',\, \psi\circ\psi') \tag{29}$$

the unit being $(0,\, 1_k,\, \mathrm{Id}_P)$ while the inverse of any $(v,\, u,\, \psi)$ is given by $(-u^{-1}\,v\circ\psi^{-1},\, u^{-1},\, \psi^{-1})$. We can see that $(P^*,+) \cong P^* \times \{1\} \times \{\mathrm{Id}_P\}$ is a normal subgroup of $(P^* \times k^* \times \mathrm{Aut}_k(P),\, \cdot)$ and the direct product $k^* \times \mathrm{Aut}_k(P) \cong \{0\} \times k^* \times \mathrm{Aut}_k(P)$ is a subgroup of $(P^* \times k^* \times \mathrm{Aut}_k(P),\, \cdot)$. Furthermore, since $(v,\, u, \psi) = (v\circ\psi^{-1},\, 1_k,\, \mathrm{Id}_P)\cdot(0,\, u,\, \psi)$ we obtain a factorization $P^* \times k^* \times \mathrm{Aut}_k(P) = P^* \cdot \big(k^* \times \mathrm{Aut}_k(P)\big)$, which shows that $(P^* \times k^* \times \mathrm{Aut}_k(P),\, \cdot)$ is a semidirect product $P^* \leftthreetimes \big(k^* \times \mathrm{Aut}_k(P)\big)$ of groups.

For any $\lambda \in P^*$ we denote by $\mathrm{Aut}_\lambda(P) := \{\, \psi \in \mathrm{Aut}_k(P) \,|\, \lambda\circ\psi = \lambda \,\}$ the subgroup of all λ-invariant automorphisms of a vector space P. Directly from the proof of Theorem 2.4 and taking into account formula $\varphi_{(v,\,u,\,\psi)} \circ \varphi_{(v',\,u',\,\psi')} = \varphi_{(av'+v\circ\psi',\,uu',\,\psi\circ\psi')}$, for all $(v,\, u,\, \psi)$, $(v',\, u',\, \psi') \in P^* \times k^* \times \mathrm{End}_k(P)$ we obtain:

Corollary 2.5. *Let P be a vector space. Then:*

(1) *There exists an isomorphism of groups $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{Lbz}}(P^\lambda) \cong k^* \times \mathrm{Aut}_\lambda(P)$, for all $\lambda \in P^* \setminus \{0\}$, where the right hand side is a direct product of groups.*

(2) *For any bilinear map $f \in \mathrm{Bil}\,(P \times P,\, k) \setminus \{0\}$ there exists an isomorphism of groups*

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{Lbz}}\big(P(f)\big) \cong \{\, (v,\, u,\, \psi) \in P^* \times k^* \times \mathrm{Aut}_k(P) \,|\, uf(-,\,-) = f\big(\psi(-),\, \psi(-)\big) \,\}$$

where the right hand side has a group structure via the multiplication given by (29).

(3) *For any pair $(\Lambda,\, f) \in (P^* \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathrm{Bil}\,(P \times P,\, k)$ satisfying (17) there exists an isomorphism of groups $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{Lie}}\big(P_{(\Lambda,\,f)}\big) \cong \mathcal{G}(P\,|\Lambda, f)$, where $\mathcal{G}(P\,|\,\Lambda, f)$ is the set consisting of all triples $(v,\, u,\, \psi) \in P^* \times k^* \times \mathrm{Aut}_k(P)$ which satisfy the following compatibilities for any $p,\, q \in P$*

$$\Lambda = \Lambda\circ\psi, \quad u\,f(p,\,q) = f\big(\psi(p),\, \psi(q)\big) + \Lambda\big(v(q)p - v(p)q\big)$$

with the group structure given by (29).

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to Otto H. Kegel for his comments on a previous version of the paper.

References

[1] Agaoka, Y. - An algorithm to determine the isomorphism classes of 4-dimensional complex Lie algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **345** (2002), 85-118.
[2] Agore, A.L. and Militaru, G. - Extending structures for Lie algebras, *Monatsh. Math.* **174** (2014), 169–193.
[3] Agore, A.L., Militaru, G. - Unified products for Leibniz algebras. Applications, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **439** (2013), 2609–2633.
[4] Amberg, B., Franciosi, S. and de Giovanni, F. - Products of groups, Oxford University Press (1992).
[5] Yu. Bahturin, Y. and Kegel, O. H. - Universal sums of abelian subalgebras, *Comm. Algebra*, **23** (1995), 2975 – 2990.
[6] Burde, D. - Derived length and nildecomposable Lie algebras, arXiv:1212.3113,

[7] Burde, D. and Ceballos, M - Abelian ideals of maximal dimension for solvable Lie algebras, *Journal of Lie Theory*, **22** (2012), 741–756.
[8] Calderon Martin, A, J. and Sanchez Delgado, J. M. - On split Leibniz algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **436** (2012), 1651–1663.
[9] Camacho, L.M., Cañetea, E.M., Gómez, J.R. and Redjepov, Sh.B. - Leibniz algebras of nilindex $n-3$ with characteristic sequence $(n-3,2,1)$, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **438** (2013), 1832–1851.
[10] Cañetea, E.M., and Khudoyberdiyev, A. Kh. - The classification of 4-dimensional Leibniz algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **439** (2013), 273-288.
[11] Casas, J.M., Ladra, M., Omirov, B. A. and I.A. Karimjanov, I.A. - Classification of solvable Leibniz algebras with null-filiform nilradical, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, **61** (2013), 758–774
[12] Ceballos, M. and Towers, D. A. - On abelian subalgebras and ideals of maximal dimension in supersolvable Lie algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **218** (2014), 497-503.
[13] Chen, Yongshan and Chen, Yuqun - Gröbner-Shirshov bases for metabelian Lie algebras, *J. Algebra*, **358** (2012), 143-161.
[14] Conder, M. D. E. and Isaacs, I. M. - Derived subgroups of products of an abelian and a cyclic subgroup, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **69**(2004), 333-348.
[15] Covez, S. - The local integration of Leibniz algebras, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, **63**(2013), 1-35.
[16] Cuvier, C. - Algèbres de Leibniz: définitions, propriétés, *Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup.*, **27** (1994), 1–45.
[17] Dangovski, R., Drensky, V. and Findik, Ş. - Weitzenböck derivations of free metabelian Lie algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **439** (2013), 3279-3296.
[18] Daniyarova, E., Kazachkov I and Remeslennikov, V. - Algebraic geometry over free metabelian Lie algebra II: Finite field case, *J. Math. Sci.*, **135**(2006), 3311–3326.
[19] del Barcoa, V. J. and Ovandob, G. P. - Free nilpotent Lie algebras admitting ad-invariant metrics, *J. Algebra*, **366** (2012), 205-216.
[20] Demir, I., Misra, K. C. and Stitzinger, E. - On some structures of Leibniz algebras, arXiv:1307.7672,
[21] Drensky, V. and Piacentini Cattaneo G. M. - Varieties of metabelian Leibniz algebras, *J. Algebra Appl.* **01**, 31 (2002).
[22] Fialowski, A., Khudoyberdiyev, A. Kh. and Omirov, B. A. - A characterization of nilpotent Leibniz algebras, *Algebr. Represent. Theor.*, **16** (2013), 1489-1505.
[23] Gauger, M. - On the classification of metabelian Lie algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **179**(1973), 293–329.
[24] Galitski, L. Yu. and Timashev, D. A. - On classification of metabelian Lie algebras, *J. Lie Theory*, **9** (1999), 125–156.
[25] de Graaf, W.A. - Classification of Solvable Lie Algebras, *Experiment. Math.*, **14** (2005), 15–25.
[26] Itô, N. - Über das produkt von zwei abelschen gruppen, *Math. Z.*, **62** (1955) 400-401.
[27] Loday, J.-L. and Pirashvili, T. - Universal enveloping algebras of Leibniz algebras and (co)homology, *Math. Ann.*, **296**(1993), 139–158.
[28] Militaru, G. - The global extension problem, co-flag and metabelian Leibniz algebras, to appear in *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, DOI:10.1080/03081087.2014.891587, arXiv:1308.5559.
[29] Omirov, B.A., Rakhimov, I. S. and Turdibaev, R. M. - On description of Leibniz algebras corresponding to $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, *Algebr. Represent. Theor.*, **16** (2013), 1507-1519.
[30] Petravchuk, A. P. - On the sum of an almost abelian Lie algebra and a Lie algebra finite dimensional over its center, *Ukrain. Math. J.*, **51** (1999), 707-715.
[31] Poroshenko, E. N. and Timoshenko, E. I. - Universal equivalence of partially commutative metabelian Lie algebras, *J. Algebra*, **384** (2013), 143-168.
[32] Szechtman, F. - Equivalence and normal forms of bilinear forms, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **443** (2014), 245-259.
[33] Turkowski, P. - Solvable Lie algebras of dimension six, *J. Math. Phys.*, **31**(1990), 1344-1350.

Faculty of Engineering, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

E-mail address: ana.agore@vub.ac.be and ana.agore@gmail.com

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Bucharest, Str. Academiei 14, RO-010014 Bucharest 1, Romania

E-mail address: gigel.militaru@fmi.unibuc.ro and gigel.militaru@gmail.com